

Attorney at Law  
Kyle B. Trevas PLC



---

P.O. Box 1523, 409 East Eighth Street, Traverse City, MI 49686  
[trevaskyle@gmail.com](mailto:trevaskyle@gmail.com) office 231-929-3611 fax 231-929-3644

8/7/2015

MIDC  
200 N. Washington Square  
Lansing, MI 48933

Re: MIDC Proposed Minimum Standards June 22. 2015

**Standard 1**

**A.**

Requiring knowing all substantive Federal law of someone practicing criminal law in the State Court seems a bit much, this is saying that I am required to know all of the State and Federal statutory law. The Michigan compiled laws fill over sixty volumes.

**B.**

Is yet again an incredibly high standard; "shall know all forensic and scientific issues that can arise in a criminal case". I doubt that even Gil Grissom knows all of the "forensic and scientific issues that can arise in a criminal case".

**Standard 2**

**A.**

72 hours is not a national standard and does not appear in any of the material cited as support for this standard.

In my experience working in rural northwest Michigan, I often don't get appointments until the day after I was appointed and I will almost always do not have any discovery within 72 hours of my appointment. I work three counties

Attorney at Law  
Kyle B. Trevas PLC



---

P.O. Box 1523, 409 East Eighth Street, Traverse City, MI 49686  
[trevaskyle@gmail.com](mailto:trevaskyle@gmail.com) office 231-929-3611 fax 231-929-3644

and some prosecutor's offices still use the mail or just leave my paper work in my box in their office.

Given my duty not support perjury I generally want my client to see the police report before they give me their version of events.

Also MCR 6.108 has virtually eliminated any concerns of a defendant going to a preliminary exam without first having consulted with their attorney well ahead of time.

**Standard 3**

**B. & C.**

District Courts don't have the funding and don't want to pay for experts in Circuit Court cases. I would like to be able to get an expert out of the gate but no one is going to pay for it until the case is in Circuit Court. If you can fix this please do so.

**Standard 4**

I have no idea how this will be practical in small Northern Michigan Counties.

In speaking with staff it was indicated that if these measures are not supported and funded then counsel would not have to comply with the unfunded standards, but if the standards are published whether required or not they will be the basis of many a grievance.

Sincerely,

Kyle Trevas