MICHIGAN INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION

The Michigan Indigent Defense Commission ensures that quality public defense services are accessible to all eligible adults charged with a criminal offense in Michigan.

Date: Wednesday, December 2, 2026, Time: 9:30 a.m.
Michigan Bankers Association
507 S. Grand Ave, Lansing, MI 48933

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Roll call and opening remarks
3. Introduction of Commission members and guests
4. Public comment
5. Additions to agenda (action item to approve agenda)
6. Consent agenda (action item)
    a. September 9, 2026 Meeting Minutes
7. Chair Report
    a. Welcome New Commissioner Brandy Robinson
    b. Committee Assignments
    c. *Frank Eaman Award for Excellence in Public Defense* presented to 2026 recipient Hon. James H. Fisher
8. Executive Director Report
    a. Litigation update
    b. Youth Defense Update
9. Commission Business
    a. Standing Committee Reports
        i. Executive Committee – *Tracey Brame, Chair*
        ii. Performance Standards – *James Samuels, Committee Chair*
    b. Ad hoc Committee Reports

i. Attorney Shortages – *Gary Walker, Committee Chair*
ii. Nominating – *James Krizan, Committee Chair* (action item)

c. Commission on Well-Being in the Law update – *Marla McCowan, Deputy Director*
d. MIDC Standards Implementation
    i. FY26 Compliance Year End Review
        - Research and Field Teams
        - Budget adjustments (information items)
    ii. FY27 Compliance Planning
        - Overview of funding distributed to date
        - Unexpended Balance Projection
        - Non-compliance updates
            - Wayne County
        - Non-compliance (new)
            - Alger County
            - Luce County
            - Mackinac County
            - Manistee County
            - City of Dearborn Heights
        - Funding unit employee certifications

~break for lunch ~

    iii. FY27 Compliance Plans and Cost Analysis
        - Resubmissions (information items)
            - Cheboygan County
            - City of Hazel Park
            - Macomb County
            - Marquette County
            - Oakland County
            - Ottawa County
            - St. Clair County
        - Resubmissions (action items)
            - City of Eastpointe
            - Lake County

- Oceana County
- Shiawassee County

10. 2027 Meeting Dates
    - February 10, 2027
    - June 9, 2027
    - September 8, 2027
    - December 8, 2027
11. Adjourn – next meeting February 10, 2027 beginning at 9:30 a.m.

Online Access: For members of the public who wish to join the meeting online, please email Marcela Westrate at WestrateM1@michigan.gov or contact Marcela by phone at 517-648-3143 to request a Zoom link. This link will also be on the MIDC's website.

Michigan Indigent Defense Commission Meeting Minutes

The meeting was held in person at the Michigan Bankers Association building in Lansing, Michigan. Remote access via Zoom was available for Commissioners and, upon request, for members of the public. The MIDC website and meeting notice included information for members of the public on how to join the meeting via Zoom.

September 9, 2026
Time: 9:30 AM
Michigan Bankers Association
507 S. Grand Ave, Lansing, MI 48933

Commission Members Participating

The following members were present:

- Chair Tracey Brame
- Judge Stacia Buchanan
- Andrew DeLeeuw
- Christine Green
- John Hays
- Loren Khogali
- James Krizan
- Judge James Maceroni
- Margaret McAvoy
- Alicia Moon (non-voting member)
- Sofia Nelson
- Jim Samuels
- Alan Vanderberg
- Rob VerHeulen
- Gary Walker

The following Commissioners were absent:

- Thomas Adams
- Michael Carter
- Charissa Huang
- Coriann McMillen

Chair Brame called the meeting to order at 9:35 am.

Public Comment

The following people provided public comment:

- Doug Corwin

- Phil Seleski
- Pete Menna
- Nargiz Nesimova Kramer
- Brittany Costello
- Harry Mihas
- Erin Freers-Cole
- Susan Buitenhuis

Approval of Agenda
Commissioner VerHeulen moved that the agenda be approved as presented. Commissioner Green seconded. The motion carried.

Consent Agenda
Commissioner McAvoy moved that the consent agenda containing the September 10, 2026, meeting minutes be adopted. Commissioner Khogali seconded. The motion carried.

Chair Report
Chair Brame welcomed new MIDC Commissioners Judges Buchanan and Maceroni to replace Judges Mathes and Fisher.

Chair Brame announced assignments to several committees. Selection Standards: Commissioner Krizan, chair. Performance Standards: Commissioner Samuels (chair), Judge Buchanan, and Sofia Nelson. Indigence and Compensation: Commissioner VerHeulen (chair) and Judge Maceroni. Nominating Committee: Commissioners Krizan, McAvoy, and Walker.

Chair Brame noted that the Ad hoc committee on indirect costs has concluded.

Executive Director Report
Ms. Staley presented the MIDC's annual budget which included operations spending through July 2026, proposed operation spending for FY27, and a proposed submission for funding levels in FY28. Commissioner Nelson moved to authorize the Executive Director to work with LARA on the MIDC's FY28 budget proposal. Judge Maceroni seconded. The motion carried.

Executive Committee
The Executive Committee met on August 31, 2026, to set the Commission's September agenda.

Training and Evaluation Committee
The committee did not meet but there was one item that was discussed during the attorney shortage committee, since several commissioners serve on both committees.

Rural Attorney Shortages
Commissioner Walker provided an update on the committee's September 1, 2026, meeting. The committee discussed Muskegon County's resubmitted compliance plan which includes funding for a Fellow position to work at MSU's Public Defender Clinic. Committee members supported the proposal. The committee reviewed a request from attorney Nargiz Nesimova Kramer that the MIDC support Public Student Loan Forgiveness for roster attorneys working across the state. The committee

will explore partnering with other stakeholders including the State Bar of Michigan, Prosecuting Attorneys Association of Michigan, and the Michigan Association of Counties to issue a public statement that says we are in favor of the proposal.

MIDC Standards Implementation

FY27 Compliance Plans and Cost Analysis

The following systems submitted revisions to previously approved cost analyses based on the individual system's projected needs for FY27: City of Grosse Pointe Park, City of Royal Oak, City of Warren. Commissioner Nelson moved to approve the revised FY27 costs for these systems. Commissioner Khogali seconded. The motion carried.

Staff recommends disapproval of the compliance plan and cost analysis for the City of Eastpointe; no plan has been submitted. Commissioner Green moved that the City of Eastpointe's non-submission be treated as a disapproval of the compliance plan and cost analysis. Commissioner Walker seconded. The motion carried.

Staff recommends approval of the compliance plans and cost analyses resubmitted by the systems listed below. There were no substantive changes and no increased costs from the submissions that were approved in June 2026.

- City of Birmingham
- City of Farmington
- City of Ferndale
- City of Oak Park
- City of St Clair Shores
- Clinton Township
- Lapeer County
- Alcona County
- Bay County
- Clare County
- Huron County
- Iosco County
- Isabella County
- Mason County
- Mecosta County
- Montmorency County
- Newaygo County
- Ogemaw County
- Osceola County
- Oscoda County
- Saginaw County
- Sanilac County
- Tuscola County
- Chippewa County
- Crawford County
- Emmet County
- Grand Traverse County
- Houghton County
- Kalkaska County
- Leelanau County
- Luce County
- Mackinac County
- Manistee County
- Menominee County
- Presque Isle County
- Schoolcraft County
- Wexford County
- Clinton County
- Genesee County
- Gratiot County
- Ingham County
- Jackson County
- Lenawee County
- Livingston County
- Monroe County
- Washtenaw County

- Canton Township
- City of Dearborn
- City of Dearborn Heights
- City of Detroit
- City of Grosse Pointe Farms
- City of Grosse Pointe Woods
- City of Hamtramck
- City of Highland Park
- City of Lincoln Park
- City of Livonia
- City of Romulus
- City of Taylor
- City of Wayne
- City of Westland
- City of Wyandotte
- Grosse Ile Township (now City of Flat Rock)
- Township of Redford
- Wayne County
- Allegan County
- Barry County
- Berrien County
- Branch County
- Calhoun County
- City of Grand Rapids
- Ionia County
- Kalamazoo County
- Kent County
- Montcalm County
- St. Joseph County
- Van Buren County

Commissioner VerHeulen moved that the compliance plans and cost analyses resubmitted by the systems listed above be approved. Judge Maceroni seconded. The motion carried. Judge Buchanan abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to Ingham County. Commissioner Vanderberg abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to Kent County. Commissioner Krizan abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to the City of Lincoln Park. Commissioner Nelson abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to Wayne County. Commissioner DeLeeuw abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to Washtenaw County.

The following systems resubmitted compliance plans and cost analysis with reduced funding requests and/or system changes. Staff recommends approval for these three systems: City of Southfield, Alger County, and Eaton County. Commissioner McAvoy moved that the compliance plans and cost analyses resubmitted by these three systems be approved. Commissioner DeLeeuw seconded. The motion carried.

Four systems resubmitted compliance plans and costa analyses requesting increased funding based on projected need for FY27. Staff recommends approval of the resubmitted compliance plans and cost analyses for these four counties: Hillsdale, Gogebic, Ontonagon, and Muskegon. Commissioner Vanderberg moved that the compliance plans and cost analyses resubmitted by these four counties be approved. Commissioner Walker seconded. The motion carried.

Staff recommends approval of the compliance plana dn a portion of the cost analysis resubmitted by the following systems:

- City of Hazel Park
- Macomb County
- Oakland County
- St. Clair County
- Ottawa County
- Marquette County

Commissioner Walker moved that the compliance plans and a portion of the cost analyses resubmitted by the six systems listed above be approved. Commissioner Green seconded. The motion carried. Judge Maceroni abstained from the discussion and vote with respect to Macomb County.

Commissioner Samuels moved to approve the FY27 compliance plans and a portion of the cost analyses resubmitted by the following counties: Shiawassee, Lake, Oceana, and Cheyboygan. Judge Maceroni seconded. The motion carried.

Chair Brame adjourned the meeting at 12:50 pm. The next meeting is December 2, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

MICHIGAN INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION

Frank D. Eaman Award for Extraordinary Service in Public Defense

Presented to

Hon. James H. Fisher

December 2, 2026

Established in 2023, the MIDC's Frank D. Eaman Award is presented annually for extraordinary service in public defense and improving representation to people who are poor and charged with crimes in Michigan.

In recognition of his decades of work in Michigan criminal legal system reform, including serving as the chair of the Governor's Indigent Defense Advisory Commission, and being appointed by the Governor as the first Chair of the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission, the MIDC appreciates and thanks Judge Fisher for his extraordinary vision, dedication, and inspiring leadership in public defense.

_____

Tracey Brame
MIDC Chairperson

_____

Kristen Staley
MIDC Executive Director

Nominations Committee Report and Recommendations

December 2026

Committee Members:

- James Krizan, Chair
- Margaret McAvoy
- Gary Walker

The Committee nominates the following Commissioners to serve as Officers for the term beginning January 1, 2027 and concluding December 31, 2027:

- Robert VerHeulen, Chair
- Andrew DeLeeuw, Vice Chair
- Charissa Huang, Secretary

Per the Commission Bylaws section B 1, the Committee also recommends that Tracey Brame, immediate past Chair (2025-2026), serve as an ex officio and non-voting member of the Executive Committee.

The Committee also recommends that Christine Green, past Chair (2021-2024), serve as an ex officio and non-voting member of the Executive Committee.

To: Michigan Indigent Defense Commission

From: Marla R. McCowan
Deputy Director

Re: Compliance Planning and Costs:
FY26 status updates; FY27 re-submissions

Date: November 25, 2026

I. Funding Awards by Fiscal Year

	MIDC Funding	Local Share	Total Costs Approved	Total Funding Used
FY 2019	$86,990,991.42	$37,694,585.10[1]	$124,685,576.52	$79,264,606.41[2]
FY 2020	$119,175,098.56	$38,523,883.90	$157,698,982.46	$100,465,529.28[3]
FY 2021	$129,089,696.93	$38,523,865.93	$167,613,562.86	$124,154,258.01
FY 2022	$138,348,406.27	$38,146,920.09	$176,495,326.36	$145,262,514.40
FY 2023	$173,928,393.06	$38,825,422.67	$212,753,815.73	$172,389,946.28
FY 2024	$281,237,724.24	$38,825,422.67	$320,063,146.91	$209,980,777.32
FY 2025	$295,282,167.22	$38,825,422.67	$334,107,589.89	$249,511,882.98
FY 2026[4]	$254,796,001.50	$38,712,338.93	$293,508,340.43	ongoing
FY 2027[5]	$247,665,305.90	$38,433,214.89	$286,098,520.79	Contracts pending

Funding for each system, each fiscal year, is posted on the MIDC's website at https://michiganidc.gov/grants/.

---

[1] The annual inflationary increase described in M.C.L. §780.983(i) is calculated from the FY2019 local share.
[2] No contract was executed with the City of Detroit, funds were not disbursed.
[3] The City of Detroit did not expend their full local share.
[4] Revised June 10, 2026 to add $2,934,215.57 across 16 funding units.
[5] As of September 9, 2026 reflecting approved plans and portions of approved costs. Does not include City of Eastpointe (disapproved June 10, 2026, no submission for September review).

II. FY26 Compliance Plans and Costs

A. Status and Final Reporting

As of the MIDC's October 21, 2025 meeting, all 120 compliance plans and cost analyses were approved, and communication regarding that status was sent through our grant management system. At the MIDC's June 10, 2026 meeting, 16 systems had their costs revised (increased) based on projected spending and actual need.

Beginning in FY26, payments were reduced by the amount of unexpended funds from the prior fiscal year's grant balance (plus interest) across all payments scheduled.

Funding in the amount of $154,534,255.95 was distributed to all systems eligible for payment in FY2026. Quarterly reporting continues to be analyzed.

The fourth quarter of reporting from systems for FY26 (covering July 1, 2026 through September 30, 2026) was due by October 31, 2026. Funding units were required to enter the following reporting in EGrAMS:

- Attorney List
- Financial Status Report
- Quarterly Program Report
- Unexpended balance of Funds, pursuant to M.C.L. §780.993(15)[6]

Multiple resources are available on the grants page of the Commission's website including updated compliance reporting instructions, and a recorded webinar covering submission of reports through our EGrAMS.

[6] The MIDC annually collects information about the balance of funds distributed to systems in a form completed by the local funding units due no later than October 31. See the MIDC Act, M.C.L. §780.993(15). Due to features within our grant management system, the Unexpended Balance Report cannot be submitted until the Q4 FSR is approved by MIDC staff. Most UBRs are pending submission as Q4 is finalized.

Sample invoicing for attorneys is available, along with a document relating to entering codes to capture various data points. The MIDC's *Grant Manual* is posted to our Grants webpage and serves as a resource as well.

As if this writing, the following reports remain *pending submission* to the MIDC:

Program	Funding Unit	Report	Report Date	Status
CPA-26	Alger County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Alger County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Alger County	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Calhoun County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Ferndale	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Ferndale	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Southfield	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Southfield	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Southfield	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Wyandotte	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	City of Wyandotte	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Delta County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Dickinson County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Gogebic County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Gogebic County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Gogebic County	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Gratiot County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Kent County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Kent County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Mackinac County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Manistee County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Manistee County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Manistee County	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Ontonagon County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Ontonagon County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Ontonagon County	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Wayne County	ATYLST	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Wayne County	FSR	9/30/2026	Pending
CPA-26	Wayne County	QPR	9/30/2026	Pending

B. Notice of New Noncompliance Issued (across fiscal years)

Pursuant to the Compliance Resolution Process approved by the MIDC in June of 2021, the following systems received notices of noncompliance with the MIDC's Standards or grant contract terms:

- Alger County – failure to timely submit Q3 reporting, issued Sept 10, 2026 (resolved Nov 9, 2026).
- Luce County – failure to timely submit Q3 reporting, issued Sept 10, 2026 (resolved October 15, 2026).
- Mackinac County – failure to timely submit Q3 reporting, issued Sept 10, 2026 (resolved October 15, 2026).
- Manistee County – failure to timely submit Q3 reporting, issued Sept 10, 2026 (resolved November 5, 2026).
- City of Dearborn Heights – failure to promptly pay attorney invoices, issued November 16, 2026 (unresolved).

C. Budget Adjustments

The Grants Director processed and approved budget adjustment requests (line item transfer requests) for the following systems pursuant to the process set forth in the MIDC's Grant Manual at p. 42-43 (February 2026):

- Barry County
- Charter Township of Waterford
- Cheboygan County
- Chippewa County
- City of Grand Rapids
- City of Hazel Park
- City of Pontiac
- Emmet County
- Genesee County

- Gratiot County
- Houghton County
- Ingham County
- Ionia County
- Kalkaska County
- Lenawee County
- Luce County
- Ottawa County
- Shiawassee County
- Van Buren County
- Wexford County

III. FY27 Compliance Planning (action items)

A. Overview

All funding units are annually required to submit a plan for compliance with all approved MIDC Standards pursuant M.C.L. §780.993, which provides:

(3) No later than 180 days after a standard is approved by the department, each indigent criminal defense system shall submit a plan to the MIDC for the provision of indigent criminal defense services in a manner as determined by the MIDC and shall submit an annual plan for the following state fiscal year on or before October 1 of each year. A plan submitted under this subsection must specifically address how the minimum standards established by the MIDC under this act will be met and must include a cost analysis for meeting those minimum standards. The standards to be addressed in the annual plan are those approved not less than 180 days before the annual plan submission date. The cost analysis must include a statement of the funds in excess of the local share, if any, necessary to allow its system to comply with the MIDC's minimum standards.

(4) The MIDC shall approve or disapprove all or any portion of a plan or cost analysis, or both a plan and cost analysis, submitted under subsection (3), and shall do so within 90 calendar days of the submission of the plan and cost analysis. If the MIDC disapproves any part of the plan, the cost analysis, or both the plan and the cost analysis, the indigent criminal defense system shall consult with the MIDC and, for any disapproved portion, submit a new plan, a new cost analysis, or both within 60 calendar days of the mailing date of the official notification of the MIDC's disapproval. If after 3 submissions a compromise is not reached, the dispute must be resolved as provided in section 15. All approved provisions of an indigent criminal defense system's plan and cost analysis must not be delayed by any disapproved portion and must proceed as provided in this act. The MIDC shall not

approve a cost analysis or portion of a cost analysis unless it is reasonably and directly related to an indigent defense function.

Funding units used the MIDC's Grant Management System (EGrAMS) to submit compliance plans. Multiple live webinars were offered in March 2026 and a detailed, self-guided tutorial was prepared for funding units and distributed via listserv along with resources and materials for planning.

B. Planning and Process

All initial plans were received no later than the due date of March 27, 2026 by 11:59 p.m. At the MIDC's June 10, 2026 meeting, the Commission fully approved 24 plans, and approved the plans and a portion of the cost analyses for 93 systems. The City of Eastpointe's plan and cost analysis was fully disapproved.

The systems that had funding disapproved, or only a portion of the funding approved, received official mailings regarding the MIDC's action via U.S. Mail on July 1, 2026. The mailing explained that the Commission will be prepared to issue a contract at the beginning of the fiscal year reflecting the approved plan and costs consistent with the Commission's action at their June 10, 2026 meeting.

- Second submissions

Because some portions of the cost analysis were disapproved, funding units were entitled to resubmit those disapproved costs within 60 days from the mailing pursuant to M.C.L. §780.993(4). The deadline for the resubmission was Monday August 31, 2026 by 11:59 p.m. All funding units have a designated point of contact and all were advised that they could make edits or simply accept the award from the Commission, but were still required to resubmit the plan and cost analysis through our grant management system by that deadline.

At the September 9, 2026 Commission meeting, three systems with fully approved plans and costs (of 24) required revision based on projected needs, which the MIDC approved. Of the 93 systems with plans

approved and a portion of the costs approved, 76 accepted the award previously approved by the MIDC. Two systems sought less funding on resubmission, which were approved, along with a system that had a substantive plan change on resubmission but no funding difference. Four systems sought additional funding based on projected need after review of quarterly spending. The remaining 10 plans again had a portion of their costs approved by the MIDC. The City of Eastpointe's failure to resubmit was treated as a denial of the plan and cost analysis.

The 11 systems that had funding disapproved, or only a portion of the funding approved, received official mailings regarding the MIDC's action via U.S. Mail on September 14, 2026. The mailing explained that the Commission will be prepared to issue a contract at the beginning of the fiscal year reflecting the approved plan and costs consistent with the Commission's action at their September 9, 2026 meeting. The deadline for the resubmission was Monday November 16, 2026 by 11:59 p.m.

Of the 118 systems, 115 have received FY27 contracts reflecting plans and funding at the approved total by the MIDC, as described in M.C.L. §780.993(4). As of this writing:

- 92 contracts have been fully executed by all parties;
- 19 are with LARA for final execution;
- 4 are with the local funding units for signature (Alger, Eaton, Gratiot, Oscoda);
- And the final three will be distributed after the MIDC's action on the third submissions (City of Eastpointe, Oceana, Shiawassee).

Payments will be made upon finalization of FY26 reporting, unless circumstances demonstrate need on an expedited basis.

C. Third (final) Resubmissions

Information items (plans and costs previously approved):

The following systems accepted the MIDC's September 9, 2026 award based on approval of their plan and cost analysis. All FY27 contracts have been fully executed by all parties:

1. Cheboygan County
2. City of Hazel Park
3. Macomb County
4. Marquette County
5. Oakland County
6. Ottawa County
7. St. Clair County

Staff will continue to offer ongoing support to adjust funding across categories as needed during the grant year to facilitate program needs.

Staff recommends approval based on projected funding needs (including reimbursement for overspending) and all components of the compliance plan meet the objectives of the MIDC's Standards:

8. City of Eastpointe
   FY25 actual spending: $969,073.50
   FY 26 approved funding: $816,971.00
   Spending through Q4: $1,024,002.50 (125.34%)
   FY 27 requested funding: $1,026,860.00

City of Eastpointe		Attorney Workloads (Last 4 Quarters) = 4.6 Std6 "FTE"				
		Docket Hours	Misd	LS Felonies	HS Felonies	Life Offenses
Delivery System	**# Attorneys**	1169	2089	0	0	0
MAC	0 PD / 25 Roster	Hourly Rates for Contract Attorneys in FY27				
*Estimated		$135	$135	-	-	-

Staff recommends approval of the plan and a portion of the resubmitted cost analysis:

9. Lake County
   FY 26 approved funding: $445,967.98
   Spending through Q4: $609,742.45 (136.72%)
   FY 27 approved funding: $463,129.42
   FY27 revised requested funding: $748,605.41
   FY27 recommended funding: $729,233.41

Lake County		Attorney Workloads (Last 4 Quarters) = 1.3 Std6 "FTE"				
		Docket Hours	Misd	LS Felonies	HS Felonies	Life Offenses
Delivery System	**# Attorneys**	189	204	76	16	27
MAC	0 PD / 6 Roster	Hourly Rates for Contract Attorneys in FY27				
		$135	$135	$150	$150	$165

Part of 8-County regional MAC system. Staff initially recommended deleting the "cost allocation" request (funding already included as indirect costs in compliance plan) and approving the balance of the FY27 request.

Staff recommends increasing the original award based on known expert witness fees in a retained murder case, FY26 overspend, and FY27 revised projected need.

The cost allocation plan should be deleted from resubmission, a separate line for indirect costs using the 10% personnel and fringes line is included and should remain.

10. Oceana County

FY 26 approved funding: $780,160.70
Spending through Q4: $812,376.66 (104.13%)
FY 27 approved funding: $741,152.67
FY27 revised requested funding: $935,855.19
FY27 recommended funding: $903,862.19

Oceana County		Attorney Workloads (Last 4 Quarters) = 2.8 Std6 "FTE"				
		Docket Hours	Misd	LS Felonies	HS Felonies	Life Offenses
Delivery System	# Attorneys	389	591	171	45	3
MAC	0 PD / 9 Roster	Hourly Rates for Contract Attorneys in FY27				
		$135	$135	$150	$150	$165

Part of 8-County regional MAC system. For the initial submission, staff recommended a 5% reduction from the FY26 approved total for FY27; staff further recommended deleting the "cost allocation" request (funding already included as indirect costs in compliance plan) and approving the balance of the FY27 request.

Staff recommends increasing the original award based on FY26 overspend/actual spending, and FY27 revised projected need.

The cost allocation plan should be deleted, a separate line for indirect costs using the 10% personnel and fringes line is included and should remain.

11. Shiawassee County

FY 26 approved funding: $1,613,998.81
Spending through Q4: $1,117,492.99 (69.24%)
FY 27 approved funding: $1,291,199.05
FY27 revised requested funding: $1,420,076.05

Shiawassee County		Attorney Workloads (Last 4 Quarters) = 4.7 Std6 "FTE"				
		Docket Hours	Misd	LS Felonies	HS Felonies	Life Offenses
Delivery System	**# Attorneys**	198	843	267	96	4
PD	4 PD / 5 Roster	Hourly Rates for Contract Attorneys in FY27				
		$145	$145	$165	$165	$165/200

In the initial request, based on projected spending, staff recommended a 20% reduction from the FY26 approved total for FY27; staff further recommended moving the "cost allocation plan" line item to the indirect costs category to capture usage in quarterly reporting for the actual expenditures on personnel and fringe benefits during the fiscal year.

Staff recommends maintaining the original FY27 award. The cost allocation plan should be deleted from resubmission, a separate line for indirect costs using the 10% personnel and fringes line should be substituted. Additional funding for fringe benefits is not warranted based on projected spending and can be facilitated through a line item transfer as necessary.

FY27 Approved Totals as of September 9, 2026					
Region	Funding Unit	MIDC Funding	Local Share	Total System Costs	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Charter Township of Shelby	$735,444.00	$0.00	$735,444.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Charter Township of Waterfo	$222,411.65	$31,776.07	$254,187.72	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Birmingham	$498,282.83	$17,446.43	$515,729.26	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Farmington	$647,860.50	$21,889.50	$669,750.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Ferndale	$479,619.06	$15,293.56	$494,912.62	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Hazel Park	$728,288.79	$18,356.90	$746,645.69	**accepted**
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Madison Heights	$523,464.56	$1,779.62	$525,244.18	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Oak Park	$537,687.50	$42,128.50	$579,816.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Pontiac	$668,851.82	$18,005.34	$686,857.16	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Roseville	$1,563,383.26	$90,161.45	$1,653,544.71	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Royal Oak	$1,191,960.72	$22,670.28	$1,214,631.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Southfield	$746,707.00	$82,701.00	$829,408.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of St Clair Shores	$463,758.06	$7,072.53	$470,830.59	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Sterling Heights	$1,038,341.51	$0.00	$1,038,341.51	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Warren	$1,870,812.18	$122,687.59	$1,993,499.77	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Clinton Township	$601,643.52	$43,576.48	$645,220.00	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Lapeer County	$1,273,455.74	$109,737.51	$1,383,193.25	
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Macomb County	$12,432,985.41	$2,239,945.35	$14,672,930.76	**accepted**
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	Oakland County	$15,957,380.63	$1,867,161.92	$17,824,542.55	**accepted**
Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	St. Clair County	$3,444,906.69	$749,438.50	$4,194,345.19	**accepted**
Mid Michigan	Alcona County	$173,787.84	$40,971.99	$214,759.83	
Mid Michigan	Alpena County	$897,721.20	$163,201.41	$1,060,922.61	
Mid Michigan	Arenac County	$596,889.20	$114,224.09	$711,113.29	
Mid Michigan	Bay County	$2,212,360.57	$605,605.63	$2,817,966.20	
Mid Michigan	Clare County	$1,373,056.97	$236,294.44	$1,609,351.41	
Mid Michigan	Huron County	$892,514.20	$81,103.75	$973,617.95	
Mid Michigan	Iosco County	$531,881.21	$171,638.21	$703,519.42	
Mid Michigan	Isabella County	$1,617,081.87	$238,206.32	$1,855,288.19	
Mid Michigan	Lake County	$385,311.25	$77,818.17	$463,129.42	
Mid Michigan	Mason County	$969,137.18	$156,702.08	$1,125,839.26	
Mid Michigan	Mecosta County	$615,814.34	$166,746.66	$782,561.00	
Mid Michigan	Midland County	$540,569.40	$259,344.82	$799,914.22	
Mid Michigan	Montmorency County	$386,347.22	$16,898.57	$403,245.79	
Mid Michigan	Newaygo County	$849,619.56	$201,215.04	$1,050,834.60	

Mid Michigan	Oceana County	$648,289.66	$92,863.01	$741,152.67	
Mid Michigan	Ogemaw County	$691,901.99	$147,705.01	$839,607.00	
Mid Michigan	Osceola County	$362,121.38	$70,238.67	$432,360.05	
Mid Michigan	Oscoda County	$455,662.45	$54,284.53	$509,946.98	
Mid Michigan	Roscommon County	$619,104.89	$203,467.61	$822,572.50	
Mid Michigan	Saginaw County	$6,623,812.08	$916,773.26	$7,540,585.34	
Mid Michigan	Sanilac County	$728,581.95	$65,619.63	$794,201.58	
Mid Michigan	Tuscola County	$1,663,083.13	$253,708.29	$1,916,791.42	
Northern Michigan	Alger County	$353,773.33	$53,411.61	$407,184.94	
Northern Michigan	Antrim County	$558,310.79	$80,078.05	$638,388.84	
Northern Michigan	Charlevoix County	$762,222.56	$168,311.85	$930,534.41	
Northern Michigan	Cheboygan County	$832,544.08	$144,373.48	$976,917.56	**accepted**
Northern Michigan	Chippewa County	$594,003.40	$224,154.42	$818,157.82	
Northern Michigan	Crawford County	$489,427.44	$15,014.83	$504,442.27	
Northern Michigan	Emmet County	$474,280.40	$162,669.80	$636,950.20	
Northern Michigan	Gogebic County	$444,259.10	$104,277.26	$548,536.36	
Northern Michigan	Grand Traverse County	$2,497,534.82	$156,805.18	$2,654,340.00	
Northern Michigan	Houghton County	$1,059,242.06	$158,294.22	$1,217,536.28	
Northern Michigan	Iron County	$2,310,558.96	$251,070.36	$2,561,629.32	
Northern Michigan	Kalkaska County	$714,572.62	$39,813.90	$754,386.52	
Northern Michigan	Leelanau County	$326,230.42	$52,780.96	$379,011.38	
Northern Michigan	Luce County	$300,649.45	$30,146.05	$330,795.50	
Northern Michigan	Mackinac County	$355,554.41	$136,696.59	$492,251.00	
Northern Michigan	Manistee County	$995,812.80	$282,873.44	$1,278,686.24	
Northern Michigan	Marquette County	$2,687,081.87	$229,695.39	$2,916,777.26	**accepted**
Northern Michigan	Menominee County	$327,205.75	$116,087.70	$443,293.45	
Northern Michigan	Ontonagon County	$187,181.89	$27,747.04	$214,928.93	
Northern Michigan	Otsego County	$528,295.10	$82,192.54	$610,487.64	
Northern Michigan	Presque Isle County	$155,187.84	$74,828.40	$230,016.24	
Northern Michigan	Schoolcraft County	$194,380.34	$36,278.66	$230,659.00	
Northern Michigan	Wexford County	$1,876,906.79	$146,758.54	$2,023,665.33	
South Central Michigan	Clinton County	$2,166,298.65	$147,696.84	$2,313,995.49	
South Central Michigan	Eaton County	$2,517,224.23	$444,892.58	$2,962,116.81	
South Central Michigan	Genesee County	$8,921,878.05	$1,334,291.81	$10,256,169.86	
South Central Michigan	Gratiot County	$1,239,487.46	$83,319.38	$1,322,806.84	
South Central Michigan	Hillsdale County	$786,603.07	$113,644.45	$900,247.52	

South Central Michigan	Ingham County	$10,321,624.30	$920,963.44	$11,242,587.74
South Central Michigan	Jackson County	$4,570,823.70	$566,779.27	$5,137,602.97
South Central Michigan	Lenawee County	$2,333,241.86	$214,605.27	$2,547,847.13
South Central Michigan	Livingston County	$2,233,270.62	$935,939.47	$3,169,210.09
South Central Michigan	Monroe County	$2,361,407.61	$215,785.29	$2,577,192.90
South Central Michigan	Shiawassee County	$1,185,221.29	$105,977.76	$1,291,199.05
South Central Michigan	Washtenaw County	$10,360,083.03	$2,645,848.40	$13,005,931.43
Wayne County	Canton Township	$392,827.60	$31,111.46	$423,939.06
Wayne County	City of Allen Park	$205,305.52	$14,817.09	$220,122.61
Wayne County	City of Dearborn	$1,676,349.30	$78,777.98	$1,755,127.28
Wayne County	City of Dearborn Heights	$459,155.34	$9,821.67	$468,977.01
Wayne County	City of Detroit	$6,098,928.53	$1,085,610.79	$7,184,539.32
Wayne County	City of Flat Rock	$382,358.13	$76,681.87	$459,040.00
Wayne County	City of Garden City	$140,204.69	$8,929.67	$149,134.36
Wayne County	City of Grosse Pointe	$10,182.77	$3,229.42	$13,412.19
Wayne County	City of Grosse Pointe Farms	$53,607.47	$15,000.53	$68,608.00
Wayne County	City of Grosse Pointe Park	$20,909.42	$10,175.29	$31,084.71
Wayne County	City of Grosse Pointe Woods	$56,074.96	$3,147.74	$59,222.70
Wayne County	City of Hamtramck	$71,552.32	$14,472.68	$86,025.00
Wayne County	City of Harper Woods	$300,945.49	$12,648.41	$313,593.90
Wayne County	City of Highland Park	$100,595.21	$13,783.50	$114,378.71
Wayne County	City of Inkster	$134,687.00	$45,945.00	$180,632.00
Wayne County	City of Lincoln Park	$315,713.31	$10,725.43	$326,438.74
Wayne County	City of Livonia	$758,447.37	$17,573.31	$776,020.68
Wayne County	City of Romulus	$293,641.57	$55,261.63	$348,903.20
Wayne County	City of Southgate	$149,953.69	$4,682.31	$154,636.00
Wayne County	City of Taylor	$502,286.11	$40,330.52	$542,616.63
Wayne County	City of Wayne	$189,147.10	$23,452.78	$212,599.88
Wayne County	City of Westland	$598,242.36	$62,895.64	$661,138.00
Wayne County	City of Wyandotte	$438,595.69	$1,460.91	$440,056.60
Wayne County	Township of Redford	$508,525.26	$52,565.74	$561,091.00
Wayne County	Wayne County	$25,195,428.75	$7,603,728.01	$32,799,156.76
Western Michigan	Allegan County	$3,698,283.98	$233,977.47	$3,932,261.45
Western Michigan	Barry County	$1,261,526.29	$231,076.12	$1,492,602.41
Western Michigan	Berrien County	$5,644,361.73	$574,534.13	$6,218,895.86
Western Michigan	Branch County	$1,556,979.19	$154,555.92	$1,711,535.11

Western Michigan	Calhoun County	$7,767,524.42	$697,606.42	$8,465,130.84	
Western Michigan	Cass County	$772,056.84	$254,093.21	$1,026,150.05	
Western Michigan	City of Grand Rapids	$4,757,610.30	$176,951.55	$4,934,561.85	
Western Michigan	Ionia County	$840,134.76	$223,194.34	$1,063,329.10	
Western Michigan	Kalamazoo County	$13,691,409.15	$1,174,957.52	$14,866,366.67	
Western Michigan	Kent County	$14,240,493.28	$2,502,035.99	$16,742,529.27	
Western Michigan	Montcalm County	$1,201,023.42	$224,959.17	$1,425,982.59	
Western Michigan	Muskegon County	$7,252,982.98	$676,202.17	$7,929,185.15	
Western Michigan	Ottawa County	$4,971,375.45	$942,471.83	$5,913,847.28	**accepted**
Western Michigan	St. Joseph County	$791,996.25	$422,808.72	$1,214,804.97	
Western Michigan	Van Buren County	$2,679,607.84	$306,397.00	$2,986,004.84	

*reflects MIDC REDUCTION from 2nd submission

Third Submissions:

Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb & St. Clair	City of Eastpointe	$973,903.46	$52,956.54	$1,026,860.00

Recommended increase to (above approved) total:

Lake County	$266,103.99		$266,103.99
Oceana County	$162,709.52		$162,709.52
Totals	**$249,068,022.87**	**$38,486,171.43**	**$287,554,194.30**

FY26 approved total	**$293,508,340.43**
reduction from prior year	**-$5,954,146.13**